

Guam Board of Examiners for Pharmacy

**Regular Board Meeting
Thursday, November 7, 2019 – 7:30 a.m.**

MEMBERS PRESENT:		OTHERS PRESENT:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thomas Jerry Caruso, Chairperson	<input type="checkbox"/> Rob Weinberg (AG Ofc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Lourdes Phillips, Vice Chairperson	<input type="checkbox"/> Eva Aguon (Inspector)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Angelina Eustaquio, Secretary	<input type="checkbox"/> Zennia Pecina (HPLO)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Racquel Sperrazzo, Member	<input type="checkbox"/> Marie Baletto-Sherwin (HPLO)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gary Roy, Member				
TOPIC	DECISION(S) / ACTION(S) MADE	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	REPORTING TIMEFRAME	ACTION TAKEN
I. Call To Order	Called to order at 7:37 a.m. Adjourned from October 2, 2019.	Chairperson		Quorum Met
II. Proof of Publication	Guam Daily Post - Published on 10/31/19 and 11/5/19 Guam PDN - Published on 10/29/19	HPLO		Confirmed
III. Approval of Agenda	A. Agenda tabled with the following amendments: • Amend agendas for August and September (5B – Mega Drug IV) was not discussed	Board		Tabled
IV. Review and Approval of Minutes	A. Minutes for September and October tabled until next scheduled meeting to have opportunity to review audio on OPA website. (Motion: J. Caruso; S: R. Sperrazzo) Beginning of meeting Raquel stated that she needs to leave at 9:00. We need to decide if we are going to get all material in or strategically select or table until another time. Jerry asked to table reading of minutes until next meeting. (Motion: R. Sperrazzo; S: G. Roy) OPA website has August meeting, September meeting not posted. Zennia to follow up as to why not on website. Review August item 5E...Mariano Prime LLC, Mega Drug IV. Motion to table previous minutes until next meeting. Motion to	Board		Tabled
		Board		Tabled
		HPLO		Tabled
		Board		Tabled

<p>Review and Approval of Minutes Cont.</p>	<p>table August 15, September 27, and October 2 minutes until next meeting. Discussion? No discussion. (Motion: R. Sperrazzo; S: Gary Roy)</p> <p>Raquel reviewed August recording on OPA. Old business not included into September agenda did not carry over. Amend agenda to add old item from August 15 meeting...item #5E..which was Mega Drug IV. At end of August meeting preliminarily approved application for licensure pending final inspection but did not review that in last meeting. So, we want to add back in and close out.</p> <p>Review of item from August minutes regarding Mega Drug IV. Apparently not cleared from previous minutes that it was approved pending inspection, then inspection passed, and license issued. Any other issues regarding that. Raquel said that at that meeting we preliminarily approved their license pending final inspection. Final inspection was done on August 16 by Gary and Raquel who went there and the wall was constructed according to the adjustments made on the preliminary inspection...so Mega 3 and 4 are completely separated by a floor to ceiling wall. There were a couple findings and recommendations for solving that. Chemo fridge was pointed out that it would need to be moved to a negative pressure area to be compliant with the USB 800 WHEN THAT is implemented. A couple small suggestions regarding refrigerator arrangement and storage. They made no changes to their compounding portion, the IV room. But they changed the outer area, the work area and we recommended that they get a thermometer and hydrometer for the outside area. Because there's one on the inside, but there's meds being stored on the outside of the area. So the area where those are being stored. And they completed those things within an hour after we left the inspection. So it's already been done. Eye wash station, they're going to add a log for that and their going to add a hazardous waste log. So those were the suggestions. And already completed as the chair pointed out and were issued their license. Anything else will be for a follow-up inspection. And they got a copy of the report. If nothing further on Mega 4 it will be moved to old business and closed.</p>	<p>Board</p>	
		<p>Board</p>	

V	<p>Old Business</p>	<p>A. Rules and Regs:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposed law for immunizing; 2. Electronic Prescribing; and 3. Fee Schedule to Senator Terlaje's Office <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Immunizing. Need to wait 90 days till adopted. - Electronic prescribing – active and tabled. <p><u>Reviewed proposed draft legislation regarding Fee Schedule:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Treasurer needed. - Might not need to include “revolving fund” verbiage as it is currently law. - Need to consider more detailed, itemized fee schedule. Too broad. Example: #18 Veterinary Food-Animal Wholesaler Renewal Fee – are medications involved? <p>Terlaje has had copy for months. Vangie said senator called and she seems to be very interested in getting something passed thru legislature. Rob had some interesting adding to legislation articles that would ensure that funds collected to board any new funds charged would go to HPLO funds and nobody can dip into those funds as in the past. As far as we know have not heard from senator regarding Rob's additions. Zennia: met with Senator and went through HPLO breakdown as to how money distributed. She wants to move fast on pharm draft bill but wants couple things to happen... 1. treasurer needed on pharm board so financial report from HPLO can be given to board.... 2. we may not have to do revolving fund because already in law. She is reviewing and saying that funds belong to boards. Terlaje will discuss changes with Rob but she is very supportive. 3. Needs every board to itemize fee schedule. Language needs to be changed and everyone needs to have access. Zennia will generate itemized budget list, i.e. investigator, travel, educational programs, etc. and board can then revise. Jerry: #17 and 18 – Application fees, Veterinary food animal wholesale application fee and Veterinary food animal wholesaler renewal fee ... too broad. Need to be more specific. Looking for medicinals. Does anyone know if vet wholesalers and supply wholesalers are listed as vet animal wholesalers? Issue is storage and medications. Need clarification, if no exact idea, can be left until final approval with Senator as to what she wants to</p>	<p>Board HPLO Legislature</p>	<p>Tabled – PENDING LEGISLATION</p>
---	---------------------	---	---------------------------------------	---

Old Business:
Cont'd.

include in bill. Jerry expressed his opinion regarding ticketing fee of \$100 that's doable for a lot of pharmacies, not saying sure if all but not all pharmacies currently on Guam. Delinquent fees being 50% of original fee including addition to ticketing fee, continued violation, etc. ...getting pretty hefty. Numbers need to be seriously looked at. Maggie came up with list after researching other jurisdictions, that Guam is seriously too low in their fees. At one time, Rob recommended that all boards go through system together. Recommends a comparison chart with clear justification for numbers be made when approaching legislature and clearly identify current expenditures. Zennia working on this for all boards. Commission on Healing Arts best venue to get this done. Rob mentioned that legislature will ask questions, i.e. is this comparable to other jurisdictions, why do you need so much money, what do you do... need to be prepared to answer all their questions. Don't recommend going straight to senator, unless idea is to jostle others out of the way. Safer to go as one group, versus as separate boards and less challenging, more strong. Example, one board could be speaking with one senator and another board with another senator. They are all pretty much on board. Legislature knows that revision is needed, but need to go through the steps.

Section IV, changes...are these substantially different or better for operation of board than what's currently law? Rob's recommendation...senator's wrong. With all due respect and personal affection for senator. Every board has revolving fund. No board can get to their funds except if it has to do with testing and examination. That's been DOA and BBMR's readings of the law. Rob said, pretty sure that board statute states that there should be a separate revolving fund for dues and fees collected and shall be used for the benefit of the board for testing and examination. That limitation there prevents you from doing everything else you want to do, i.e. hiring inspectors, travel, conferences, etc. We want to get that language out of there, which every board has. Do it for one, do it for all. I can't think of why that law was done that way. What Rob drafted a while ago was establishing better language, but can't take personal credit. Social Workers Examiners board did it right. Sets up separate fund, can be used for anything, not limited

<p>Old Business: Cont'd.</p>	<p>just to testing and examination. Board is responsible for its own budget, board can change fees through administrative adjudication law, but this gives boards more hands-on control and your fees won't be subject to Governor's transfer authority. Which may happen anyway, but you at least will have it and we have possible lawsuit and can fight. Have seen memos go from Public Health Director to Governor saying we're transferring money from Medical Examiner's funding fees. Pharmacy board begs, borrows, and steals a lot of funding from Medical Examiner's and some of HPLO funding comes from different sources...EMS fund paying for Roma. That's why language I suggest for all boards is to insert name of board. As presented regarding Guam administrative rules and regulations relative to adopting fee schedule for Guam board of examiners for pharmacy, Rob's verbiage regarding revolving fund would be added. Zennia asked if anyone from Pharmacy board would be able to attend Commission on Healing Arts meeting on 14th so that these issues could be discussed. Rob reminded board that they are all members of Commission, or chairs or designees are members. Jerry stated that we are not prepared to proceed with adopting the fees for Guam board of pharmacy. Still work to be done. Jerry asked Rob, in light of Sunshine Law, if we could do working sessions. Rob said yes, but the fine line is that anything that involves a decision you would want to be done in a meeting and reminded board about GHURA case and rubber stamping at regular meeting. Jerry said this either has to go to committee or working session and look at issues and how to put everything together and present to senator. Jerry said I think we need to motion to table fee schedule to senator Terlaje office until next meeting and see if we can get enough members to have working session.</p> <p>Noted: Agreed to table until opportunity to conduct working session. (Motion: G. Roy; S: R. Spertazzo)</p> <p>Discussion regarding layout of minutes. Marie will make necessary adjustments.</p>	<p>HPLO</p> <p>HPLO</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>B. Harmon Drugs Investigation – HPLO Atty. A. Camacho</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Anthony Camacho not here. Rob thinks stumbling block is getting hearing officer. He is ready to move forward. Not 			

<p>Old Business: Cont'd.</p>	<p>aware of hold up. Zennia spoke with Senator Terlaje and she agrees that hearing officer should come from AG office. Zennia to speak with Director's office about hearing officer and informing Terlaje office that fee schedule to be tabled for working session. Gary asking about going forward to identify things being prioritized like getting hearing officer in the sake of public safety. Rob suggested to have someone on stand-by to do these things. There has been some talk in his office about retiring attorneys willing to do hearing officer work. Zennia could possibly RFQ or RFP to have hearing officer for all boards. Only way to approach is to have sense of urgency and just do it. Sort it out later with AG and Legislature. Hearing officer is not standing position in Rob's office. Law states that Hearing Officer has to be lawyer. Administrative Adjudication Law says that AG office should have Hearing Officer available and that they be available to us. Zennia will call Anthony Camacho and have him package everything and she will meet with AG and request that hearing officer move on this case ASAP. If not done in timeline, Zennia will speak with Senator Terlaje. Rob can assist Zennia if AG doesn't move by working on individual RFQ for services until hearing officer on board.</p>	<p>Board HPLO</p>	<p>Tabled for Working Meeting</p>
<p>C. Review & Renumbering of GBEP Forms</p>	<p>Renumbering GBEP forms – Zennia asked for clarification as to what the renumbering entails. Jerry stated that substantive changes to each form have been made over time. Forms are originally from pre-1990. Raquel stated that when we started licensing off-island pharmacies, we had to include them as well as information that pertained to them on the application forms. Zennia asked who has been working on this. Board has been having working meetings, but Angie makes changes that were discussed and is repository of changes. Gary stated that several versions of same forms were found and weren't dated. He suggested that proper way to proceed would be to have policy and procedure on how often they are reviewed and date bottom so that they are updated, possibly every</p>		

<p>Old Business: Cont'd.</p>	<p>three or five years. Zennia asked if there is anything that HPLO can do to assist. Rob mentioned that other boards are having same issues. Would be beneficial to identify what you have and what you think is most recent. Other boards are having questions asked that he thought were removed from application. Which tells him that outdated applications are being distributed. Applicants can then be informed of most current application before proceeding. People are prone to passing around information, not realizing that they might be outdated. Forms are not available online, although Rob mentioned that website has room to include this. Zennia reaching out to vendors about having forms automated. Heather Narcis was knowledgeable in creating fillable PDF's.</p> <p>D. GBEP CO-16-01 - Anthony Camacho to review. Cheryl Marimla case. 2019 application. Application renewed with provision that board can still act on charges that we think are important. Zennia's question is that in NABP she is applying for license somewhere else and requesting for license verification and have to put in some other information. Zennia going to speak with board member so that it is completed correctly. Jerry's understanding is that she is applying for a new license in New Jersey and, as far as he knows was granted. Depending on the questions, Rob should be involved in the response. Because there is a difference between accusation and conviction. And right now, we re-issued her license on advice from legal because so far it's just issues that are being brought to our attention and she hasn't been charged, or fined. There is no action against her license. Zennia requested Rob's assistance in completing NABP request. Jerry asked that, in Cheryl Marimla's case in particular, if we get requests from NABP or another board of pharmacy about Cheryl's application for a license in that jurisdiction. What are we allowed to say or answer. Rob said it depends on the question that they ask. If the question is, is her license current, the answer is yes. If the question is, does she have any pending disciplinary cases or investigations filed, then you can go into more detail. Jerry asked Zennia to ask Anthony Camacho office for any updates.</p> <p>E. Facility Inspection Report – Eva Aguon - Board Investigator</p>	<p>HPLO</p>	<p>Guest</p>	<p>Approved</p>
----------------------------------	---	-------------	--------------	-----------------

<p>Old Business: Cont'd.</p>	<p>JMI - requested an inspection on your September/October meeting. Their first round of their inspections didn't go well and had to be re-inspected and have since resolved those issues. First thing was having a freezer in place instead of a refrigerator and have ordered the refrigerator. Changed the door locks to automatic locks whereas they will automatically lock upon exiting. They fixed storage stock which had expired stock which was integrated with existing. They said it was oversight. More importantly, they have come into compliance with the Drug Supply Chain Security Act. They have registered with the FDA as far as a wholesaler in the Territory of Guam, and they've made good-faith effort to create a template for their track and trace. They're going to be one of the few wholesalers on Guam who are going to be compliance with the law because as far as we know the other wholesalers do not give track and trace with their sales. So, we have to go out to the existing wholesalers and make sure that they are all in compliance with DQSCA. So JMI Edison, outside of their fee maybe, they're good to go. It has been approved pending the inspection. So, Jerry asked if we need to approve their license now. Eva said yes, discuss, vote, do what you need to do. Gary asked how are they going to keep track? I know they have the form, but how are they going to keep track of it? In my last position when I betted places, the only places that are truly in compliance is when they have a central electronic repository where they can keep track and the purchaser can keep track. Because if they do it on paper and they give it out, how do they keep track? If there's a return, how do they keep track? And if that return goes back out to somebody else, how do they keep track? Because a piece of paper doesn't meet the straight-face requirement of the law. They were going to invest in the software. Their scope and bulk of business is not a high volume so they are able to maintain it on a Excel-type of spreadsheet and their overall wholesale company is very comprehensive and have a centralized database, what they do is keep a perpetual log on their side. As soon as they receive an inventory, they log into their vendors, download all the appropriate information, they log it into their manual spreadsheet, and they also keep a parallel log with the bigger JMI company. They have made great efforts to learn about track and trace. They are looking into investing into the track and trace software. Federal law for track</p>	
----------------------------------	--	--

Old Business:
Cont'd.

and trace has been in effect since 2017. JMI, even though they are applying as a new applicant, has been making a good-faith effort to even go all the way back to 2017 and get the needed documents and get themselves up to speed with the old stock. Regarding the other wholesalers on-island, we've renewed their application, but failure to meet the federal regulation, could the board rescind their licenses? Wholesalers are dealing with medications for human consumption and they have to keep track of everything they get and dispense, so that anyone in the chain can backtrack and find out where their product came from. Gary said that the bigger issue with us as the Board of Pharmacy is, the wholesalers are a big enough issue, but these pharmacies are knowingly purchasing medications from companies that do not follow, err go, the pharmacies are not following and I'll even be so bold as to say that a public hospital on-island is purchasing drugs from a place that does not follow federal track and trace. And the whole intent of federal track and trace is that we just don't know when drugs are adulterated, whether they're coming from black markets, gray markets, so on and so forth. So what happens is you can pick a drug off the shelf and you can trace it, where it's been, where it was manufactured, date, time, who the wholesaler was, if the wholesaler dispensed it and got returned back to them. So, you can follow it and make sure that no adulterations of the product have been made and that it came from the legal market. There are pharmacies on-island who are not purchasing drugs legally. The responsibility lies on the pharmacy to make sure that the wholesalers they're purchasing drugs from do meet federal track and trace. That's something that I've been very, very sensitive to because I'm concerned being in this area of the world that it's very easy for a black-market product to enter from another country into the circulation and cause potential harm to the citizens of Guam. Eva said that when you go the FDA website and you look under wholesalers for Guam, there's only one registered company, at this time. So that speaks to the compliance of our wholesalers with track and trace. Gary said that's been his reticence about getting a primary or secondary wholesaler on the island. I've only purchased things from off island because they're the only ones who have been able to meet the legal criteria of federal track and trace. Jerry asked what's been happening back in the states as to what

<p>Old Business: Cont'd.</p>	<p>they are doing if someone is not following the federal law. They don't get a license? Or they do? Some of these issues, the board has never faced before. Zennia said that she would ask NABP. Gary said that it's not up to the board to say who do you have your contracts with or who are you purchasing your drugs from. The area where the board can do something is when they do their inspections and pull product, ask where did you get this from? Show me the federal track and trace. If they're not able to comply with that, then that's the point on which the board of pharmacy can intervene. As far as I know, there are no regulations saying we have to approve who pharmacies purchase from. The only regulation we have is that no matter where you get medications from is that you meet all federal and local legal requirements. We can go back in and see that they're doing that, but we can't prospectively, as far as I know there's no laws, even anywhere else in other jurisdictions, saying that you need to provide us who you are purchasing drugs from in advance, only and after the fact, during inspection. Jerry recommended that we give written notice to wholesalers on-island that they're required. Gary suggested perhaps we just do an inspection on wholesalers because they are probably all doing it and say "here's our finding, you are not in compliance with federal track and trace". At least, proceed from there, that's probably the wisest thing. And then we can also see if they're in compliance with other laws because if we just send out letters to all of them, maybe there's a large number of other legal requirements that they're not meeting that we should be aware of. Like storage issues. He's been concerned about how are they storing products? Do they have temperature-sensitive logs. Gary heard that some of the local wholesalers can provide a frozen product on the island. The drug wholesalers in the US refuse to ship frozen products to Guam because they have a difficult enough time, philosophically saying that they can meet the refrigerated temperatures in the shipping process, but they could guarantee that they can't meet the frozen temperature process itself. That would be my concern is how are these other on-island companies doing the frozen process.</p>		
<p>VI New Business</p>	<p>A. GBEO – seeking approval from GBEP to utilize therapeutic pharmaceuticals for licensing period of October 2019 to</p>	<p>HPLO</p>	<p>Approved</p>

	<p>September 2020. Receipt of this notification letter is acceptable. Noted and accepted. Marie to inform GBEO.</p> <p>B. Review of Applications</p> <p>1a - Lynnfield Drug dba Freedom Fertility Pharmacy – Zennia said that this can be tabled for next meeting. TABLED.</p> <p>There's a long list of applications that either came in late or had some other pending issues regarding them, total of 20. Zennia said that she and Marie found several applications that were not entertained and by virtue of emails were told that they were following up on their applications. These are applications that never made it to the board for review and apology letters were also sent to the applicants on behalf of the board. Gary asked if there was a generic board email because he knows of a few people they kind of tangentially reached out to him stating that they were emailing Heather (Narcis) and because Heather's been in that position for a while and because they are dealing with individuals. And as people leave, they are not necessarily privy if they are off-island as to who's in that position. Zennia said that we can create one for Pharmacy as they have done for Nursing and Cosmetology. Gary and Jerry agreed that it was and Jerry suggested that IT people have something on their server that automatically forwards Heather's or Roma's emails directly to Zennia or to anywhere else identified that way anything that goes can be redirected versus returned. Zennia will work on that. She also stated that a stack of charts were pending MPJE results and reached out to NABP and found five files with scores of passing since February and licenses will be issued, along with apology letters. Others with failed scores were notified and noted in their files.</p> <p>RENEWALS - APPROVED <u>Pharmacist</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Jun Aggabao 2. Katherine Twoomey 3. Christopher Choi 4. Chloe Cho 	Board	Tabled
			APPROVED

New Business: Cont'd.	<p>5. Julie Moeller 6. James Benninger</p> <p><u>Pharmacist Technician</u> 1. Cecile Sotomil (Motion: J. Caruso; M: R. Sperrazzo; S: G. Roy)</p> <p><u>REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS</u></p> <p>1. 1b and 4c - Anazao Health Corporation – you approved them conditionally, pending a list of what they would be shipping into Guam. List was provided. REQUIREMENT MET. SATISFIED.</p>		APPROVED
	<p>2. Upsher – license approved pending whether or not they would be shipping controlled or non-controlled substances. Answer was provided via email. Raquel asked Zennia what was missing. Jerry said that they would be shipping both controlled and prescription drugs to Guam. Gary said that when they filled out their application, they selected just controlled and over-the-counter prescription, but they didn't select both controlled and non-controlled on their application. So, they filled out their application correctly. So, based on that reply from their counsel, we should amend their application on their behalf to say both. That's something that we've expressed before that the applications are not very clear as to what our intent is. The pharmacies and the wholesalers just don't know what we're looking for. Requirement met. Satisfied.</p>		APPROVED
	<p>3. AnovoRX Distribution – no CSR for off-island – Marie to notify AnovoRX that DPHSS/Gov Guam does not issue local CSR to off-island. Assumption is that they have CSR from us.</p> <p>4. MedPharm, ExpressMed, Sagan Amot – Board needs to send letter to clarify board's interpretation. Zennia to send letter that Board does not, at this time, approve ratio increase due to direct supervision and line of sight.</p>	HPLO Board HPLO	Disapproved

	New Business: Cont'd.	The remainder are businesses and due to the time constraints for this meeting, Jerry entertained a motion to table rest of applications, which are off-island businesses. Raquel moved to table 13 off-island pharmacy renewals, due to time constraints until the next meeting. (Motion: J. Caruso; M: R. Sperrazzo; S: G. Roy)	Board	Tabled
		<p>Jerry asked about following up on an individual who we met a couple months back, first name Mark and I don't have his last name, he represents a company that's been trying to get a license to ship product into Guam a relatively new biologic injectable. Have we heard anything from Diplomat Pharmacy? Marie replied yes. Mark called yesterday and he didn't have an application. So, he asked me to email one to him, which I did and I have yet to hear from him. Jerry replied that apparently, that one is on them that it fell through the cracks, that they didn't have an application. Marie replied correct and that she would follow-up this morning that he received the email. Jerry said, "at least we've heard from him".</p>	J. Caruso	Noted
VII	HPLO Report	<p>Chloe Cho – request for letter of verification APPROVED</p>	Board	Approved
	<p>Zennia Pecina - HPLO Administrator</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In November, at each Board Meeting, HPLO will be giving an update of the revenues, local appropriations, and expenditures. • Reminder that the only person that did not attend the Annual Board orientation was Angie, and she is aware and we will schedule a last make-up. Gary said that he didn't remember who presented, but he was stellar. All agreed. Thank you Rob. • Zennia mentioned to Rob that she had spoken to the board about voting for a Treasurer amongst the boards. She asked if it had to be in the Rules and Regulations about voting for Treasurer. Rob said I don't think so, just do it. In the future, when we do an overhaul of the boards and that part of the rules, in the meantime, just do it. 		<p>HPLO</p> <p>A. Eustaquio</p> <p>Board</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>

• Commission of Healing Arts will begin on November 14th with all the chairs of the boards. We will discuss their common practices.

• Finances – HPLO has three (3) funding sources: \$178,657 which falls under Healthy Future's Fund, inclusive of office lease, publications, board stipend, and IFB which is more operational. Then other allocation is \$252,460, but they make it look like they give that to us, but they don't. That's what's generated from all the boards. So, the \$252,460 is in our AS400, but that's money generated from seven (7) boards. EMS is separate and Social Work doesn't have any fees yet, so they take that number and divide it between seven (7) accounts, not evenly, but percentage. Zennia asked CFO, what do you mean by percentage? Who determines who gets what percent? He said that when the revenues are received from the boards, based on what is received yearly, he uses calculation to determine who gets what amount of money. So, in 2015, Pharmacy received \$14,775; in 2016, received \$5,680; in 2017, received \$18,905; in 2018, received \$11,065; in 2019, received \$25,539. So, I asked what does the \$25,539 entail? He said they can't itemize it because when you go to renew or get a new license, you go to Treasurer of Guam, and you're paying, but there's nothing on the payment slip that says exactly what you're paying. We have that information here. So, what we're doing as of October, is each of the boards have a spreadsheet that says exactly what was paid. Then at end of year we cross check with board treasurer and board secretary and will be reported to board at meetings. Account is named Medical Boards, but should be titled All Boards. Jerry asked if that's where Anthony Camacho's fee comes from. Zennia replied that it's a combination and we don't know how they took the money from Public Health because they have control of that, and we don't know where they're pulling the money from and that's why we need that language that states they can't touch it, but us. I can guarantee you that we can go to the AS400 and that \$252,000 is not \$252,000 anymore. And EMS has two funding's, they have a federal and a local grant. They shouldn't be using monies from the medical board for EMS,

HPLO

		<p>and they shouldn't be using anything from the other boards. It's difficult to determine how much was in there, because they're co-mingling the money. In the meantime, if we need the money, we can requisition. None of the boards have used it or tried. So if there's a conference that's for your board, you should put in that request and then we can see where they get the money from. Raquel suggested that we join the organization that drafts legislation for pharmacy. That was something like \$5000 and if they say there's no money, then we can say wait a minute, according to you, Pharmacy has \$25,000. Zennia showed spreadsheet.</p>		
VIII	Other Business	<p>Next Meeting: A. Recessed and agreed that due to the upcoming holiday season, to reconvene the regular meeting timeline from third Thursday of the month to the second Thursday which would be December 12, 2019 at 7:30 a.m. Recessed at 10:30 a.m.</p>	Board	12/12/19 7:30 a.m.
IX	Adjournment		Board	Recessed

Approved by: _____ Chairperson, GBEP Date: _____